

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,930		03/22/2001		Ellen Heber-Katz	00486.00006	1820
22907	75	90	10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
BANNE 1001 G S			`F	LACOURCIERE, KAREN A		
SUITE 11		2 2 1 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTO	N, DC 2	0001	1635	1635	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/813,930	HEBER-KATZ, ELLEN						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Karen A. Lacourciere	1635						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate at timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply to a						
	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,15-22,24 and 25</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5.								
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								
		Karen A. Lacourciere						

## C ntinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/813,930

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments filed 09-15-2003 introduce a new limitation wherein the level of a T3 or T4 thyroid hormone i reduced at least 80% relative to an untreated mammal. Additionally, claim 26 has been added, which is also directed to this newly presented limitation. This newly added limitation would require a new search and further considerations...

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered, the amendments filed 09-15-2003 would overcome the rejections of record under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the amendments filed 09-15-2003, which have not been entered.

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**